

Composition of amino acids in feed ingredients for animal diets

Xilong Li · Reza Rezaei · Peng Li · Guoyao Wu

Received: 17 July 2010 / Accepted: 30 August 2010 / Published online: 15 September 2010
© Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract Dietary amino acids (AA) are crucial for animal growth, development, reproduction, lactation, and health. However, there is a scarcity of information regarding complete composition of “nutritionally nonessential AA” (NEAA; those AA which can be synthesized by animals) in diets. To provide a much-needed database, we quantified NEAA (including glutamate, glutamine, aspartate, and asparagine) in feed ingredients for comparison with “nutritionally essential AA” (EAA; those AA whose carbon skeletons cannot be formed by animals). Except for gelatin and feather meal, animal and plant ingredients contained high percentages of glutamate plus glutamine, branched-chain AA, and aspartate plus asparagine, which were 10–32, 15–25, and 8–14% of total protein, respectively. In particular, leucine and glutamine were most abundant in blood meal and casein (13% of total protein), respectively. Notably, gelatin, feather meal, fish meal, meat and bone meal, and poultry byproduct had high percentages of glycine, proline plus hydroxyproline, and arginine, which were 10–35, 9.6–35, and 7.2–7.9% of total protein, respectively. Among plant products, arginine was most abundant in peanut meal and cottonseed meal (14–16% of total protein), whereas corn and sorghum had low percentages of cysteine, lysine, methionine, and tryptophan (0.9–3% of total protein). Overall, feed ingredients of animal origin (except for gelatin) are excellent sources of NEAA and EAA for livestock, avian, and aquatic species, whereas gelatin provides

highest amounts of arginine, glycine, and proline plus hydroxyproline. Because casein, corn, soybean, peanut, fish, and gelatin are consumed by children and adults, our findings also have important implications for human nutrition.

Keywords Nonessential amino acids · Composition · Food · Animals

Abbreviations

AA	Amino acids
BCAA	Branched-chain amino acids
CP	Crude protein
CSM	Cottonseed meal
DM	Dry matter
EAA	Essential amino acids
MBM	Meat and bone meal
NEAA	Nonessential amino acids
NRC	National Research Council
PBM	Poultry byproduct meal
SBM	Soybean meal

Introduction

Amino acids (AA) have been traditionally classified as nutritionally essential or nonessential (Table 1) on the basis of the growth or nitrogen balance of organisms (Baker 2009; Chen et al. 2009; Le Floc’h and Seve 2007; Stipanuk et al. 2009; Suryawan et al. 2009). Thus, research on protein nutrition has long focused on dietary composition of essential AA (EAA) whose carbon skeletons are not synthesized in animal cells (Elango et al. 2009; Ji et al. 2005; Li et al. 2009a; NRC 1998). In contrast, little attention has

X. Li · R. Rezaei · G. Wu (✉)
Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Nutrition,
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA
e-mail: g-wu@tamu.edu

P. Li
National Renderers Association, Alexandria, VA 22314, USA

Table 1 Traditional classification of amino acids as nutritionally essential or nonessential for monogastric mammals

EAA	NEAA
Histidine	Alanine
Isoleucine	Arginine ^a
Leucine	Asparagine
Lysine	Aspartate
Methionine	Cysteine
Phenylalanine	Glutamate
Threonine	Glutamine
Tryptophan	Glycine
Valine	Proline
	Serine
	Tyrosine

EAA amino acids whose carbon skeletons are not synthesized by animal cells, NEAA amino acids which are synthesized by animals through interorgan metabolism of AA and glucose

^a Arginine is not synthesized by carnivores and is not adequately synthesized by the fetus, neonate, or gestating dam

been paid to dietary composition of complete nonessential AA (NEAA) which can be formed *de novo* in the body (Wu 2009; Wu et al. 2010a; Yin et al. 2009). However, emerging evidence shows that NEAA play important and versatile roles in tissue-specific or whole-body metabolism and functions (Burrin and Reeds 1997; Blachier et al. 2009; Haynes et al. 2009; Li et al. 2007, 2009b; Wu et al. 2008). For mammals, NEAA include alanine, arginine (except carnivores, ferrets, minks, and young animals), asparagine, aspartate, cysteine, glutamate, glutamine, glycine, proline, serine, and tyrosine (Elango et al. 2009; Wu 2009). Due to the inadequacy or lack of endogenous synthesis, arginine, glycine, and proline are EAA for avian species (Baker 2009) and fish (Li et al. 2009d).

The objective of the present study was to determine complete composition of NEAA (including glutamate, glutamine, aspartate, and asparagine) in feed ingredients of animal diets for comparison with EAA content. This provides a much-needed database to formulate the next generation of improved diets for livestock, avian, and aquatic species. Such information also has important implications for human nutrition as some of these foods (e.g., casein, corn, soybean, peanut, fish, and gelatin) are consumed by children and adults.

Materials and methods

Materials

Casein and gelatin were purchased from US Biochemical Corp (Cleveland, OH, USA). Blood meal, cookie meal,

hydrolyzed feather meal, meat and bone meal (MBM), and poultry by-product meal (PBM) were obtained from Asia Regional Office (Causeway Bay, Hong Kong, China) of National Renderers Association (Alexandria, VA, USA). Menhaden fish meal was procured from Omega Protein Corp (Houston, TX, USA). Soybean meal (SBM) and dehulled SBM were products of Food & Protein Center of Texas A&M University (College Station, TX, USA). Cottonseed meal (CSM), peanut meal, corn grain, and sorghum grain were obtained from Producers Cooperative Association (Bryan, TX, USA). HPLC-grade water and methanol were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Houston, TX, USA). Other materials, including HPLC columns and AA standards, were products of Sigma Chemicals (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Chemical analyses

All ingredients were finely ground to 0.5 mm in size before use for analysis. Dry matter (DM) content was determined by drying approximately 100 mg samples to constant mass in a 105°C oven. Total nitrogen was analyzed in approximately 1.0 g samples using LECO Model FP-528 Analyzer (St. Joseph, MI, USA), and crude protein (CP) was calculated as total nitrogen multiplied by 6.25 on the basis of the assumption that protein contains 16% N (Wu 2009). For determining AA (except tryptophan) in protein, approximately 100 mg samples were hydrolyzed in 10 mL of 6 N HCl at 110°C for 24 h under N₂ (Wu et al. 1997). For tryptophan analysis, approximately 100 mg samples were hydrolyzed at 110°C for 20 h in 10 mL of 4.2 M NaOH plus 0.1 mL of 25% thioglycol (an antioxidant), as previously described (Wu et al. 1999). Rates of AA recoveries during acid or base hydrolysis were determined using purified bovine insulin with known AA composition (Wu and Knabe 1994) and tryptophan standard. Glutamine, glutamate, asparagine, and aspartate in protein were determined using porcine digestive enzymes, as we described (Wu et al. 2010a). Total glutathione and free AA were extracted from feed ingredients with HPLC-grade water (0.2 g sample/10 mL). For analysis, AA and total glutathione were determined in triplicate using HPLC methods involving precolumn derivatization with *o*-phthalaldehyde (Jobgen et al. 2009; Wu et al. 2007; Wu and Meininger 2008). AA and glutathione in samples were quantified on the basis of known amounts of standards (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA) using Millenium-32 Software (Waters, Milford, MA, USA).

Statistical analysis

Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM. Sample size ($n = 6$ /ingredient) was chosen on the basis of known

variation of AA among feed ingredients (Li et al. 2010; Mateo et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2010a, b) and statistical power calculation (Fu et al. 2010). Log transformation of variables was performed when variance of data was not homogenous among treatment groups, as assessed by the Levene's test (Lassala et al. 2010). Data on AA composition were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance using SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). $P < 0.05$ was taken to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Content of DM, CP, and true protein in feed ingredients

Percentages of DM and CP in ingredients varied from 88 to 97% and from 9 to 100%, respectively, depending on type (Table 2). Ingredients of animal origin (blood meal, casein, feather meal, fish meal, gelatin, MBM, and PBM) had much higher ($P < 0.05$) content of CP than ingredients of plant origin (cookie meal, CSM, peanut meal, and SBM). The content of true protein (the sum of AA in protein) was approximately 80–100% of crude protein in ingredients depending on type (Table 2). Differences between CP and true protein were smaller ($P < 0.05$) for blood meal, casein, feather meal, fish meal, gelatin, MBM, PBM, and SBM, compared with cookie meal, CSM, peanut meal, and dehulled SBM. With the exception of gelatin and SBM, the percentages of EAA were much higher ($P < 0.05$) in animal than in plant ingredients.

Content of total AA in feed ingredients

Expressed on an as-fed basis (g/100 g sample), the percentages of total AA (protein-bound plus free) in ingredients varied greatly with type (Table 3). Gelatin had the highest content of arginine (7.7%), followed by feather meal (5.7%). Among plant ingredients, arginine was the most abundant AA in peanut meal (5.7%) and CSM (4.5%), a predominant AA in SBM (4.6%) and low in corn and sorghum grains (0.38–0.41%). Alanine content was higher ($P < 0.05$) in animal products (2.8–7.8%) than in plant ingredients (0.71–2.0%). Except for blood meal, feather meal, and PBM which contained 1.1–4.2% cysteine, all other ingredients had low content of cysteine (0.05–0.7%). In contrast, animal and plant ingredients contained relatively high percentages of glutamate plus glutamine, which were 6.9–21 and 1.7–8.6%, respectively (Table 4). Interestingly, the content of glutamate plus glutamine in blood meal was <50% of that in casein ($P < 0.05$). Except for blood meal, the content of glutamate plus glutamine was much higher ($P < 0.05$) than that of aspartate plus asparagine. Glycine and proline were most

Table 2 Content of dry matter and crude protein in food ingredients (%; as-fed basis)

	Blood meal	Casein	Cookie meal	Corn grain	CSM	Feather meal	Fish meal	Gelatin	MBM	Peanut meal	PBM	SBM	SBM (DH)	Sorghum grain
DM	91.8 ^c ± 0.03	91.7 ^c ± 0.04	90.8 ^d ± 0.04	89.0 ^f ± 0.05	90.0 ^e ± 0.03	95.1 ^b ± 0.04	91.8 ^c ± 0.04	89.0 ^f ± 0.03	96.1 ^a ± 0.03	96.5 ^a ± 0.02	96.5 ^a ± 0.02	89.0 ^f ± 0.03	96.4 ^a ± 0.04	89.1 ^f ± 0.03
CP ^A	89.6 ^b ± 0.14	88.0 ^b ± 0.09	12.3 ^b ± 0.12	9.3 ⁱ ± 0.09	40.3 ^g ± 0.22	82.1 ^c ± 0.15	63.4 ^d ± 0.33	100.1 ^a ± 0.04	52.0 ^e ± 0.28	43.9 ^f ± 0.18	64.3 ^d ± 0.40	43.6 ^f ± 0.12	51.8 ^e ± 0.10	10.1 ⁱ ± 0.10
TP ^B	88.3 ^b ± 0.76	86.2 ^b ± 0.84	10.5 ^b ± 0.20	8.2 ⁱ ± 0.16	32.5 ^g ± 0.73	81.0 ^c ± 0.95	63.7 ^d ± 0.60	97.4 ^a ± 0.81	50.7 ^e ± 0.66	35.1 ^f ± 0.92	60.4 ^d ± 0.63	38.2 ^{fg} ± 0.45	41.6 ^f ± 0.57	8.8 ⁱ ± 0.38
EA	41.9 ^a ± 0.38	37.2 ^b ± 0.52	3.3 ^g ± 0.12	3.0 ^g ± 0.10	10.7 ^f ± 0.49	24.9 ^e ± 0.52	24.8 ^e ± 0.34	14.4 ^e ± 0.56	15.4 ^e ± 0.42	10.8 ^f ± 0.49	18.4 ^d ± 0.40	14.5 ^e ± 0.36	15.5 ^e ± 0.41	3.2 ^g ± 0.22
NA	46.4 ^d ± 0.51	49.0 ^c ± 0.43	7.2 ^j ± 0.15	5.2 ^k ± 0.13	21.8 ⁱ ± 0.56	56.1 ^b ± 0.72	38.9 ^f ± 0.58	83.0 ^a ± 0.63	35.3 ^g ± 0.71	24.3 ^h ± 0.63	42.0 ^c ± 0.46	24.3 ^h ± 0.52	26.2 ^h ± 0.49	5.6 ^k ± 0.30

Values are mean ± SEM ($n = 6$)

CP crude protein, CSM cottonseed meal, DH dehulled, DM dry matter, MBM meat and bone meal, PBM poultry byproduct meal, SBM soybean meal, EA total amounts of essential AA, NA total amounts of nonessential AA

^{a-k} Means with different superscripts within a row differ ($P < 0.05$)

^A Crude protein = N% × 6.25

^B True protein is the sum of AA in protein, which was calculated on the basis of molecular weights of AA residues in protein

Table 3 Composition of total AA in food ingredients (%; as-fed basis)

AA	Blood meal	Casein	Cookie meal	Corn grain	CSM	Feather meal	Fish meal	Gelatin	MBM	Peanut meal	PBM	SBM	SBM (DH)	Sorghum grain
Ala	7.82 ^b ± 0.10	2.77 ^c ± 0.06	0.52 ± 0.01	0.71 ¹ ± 0.01	1.42 ^g ± 0.03	4.18 ^d ± 0.06	5.07 ^c ± 0.04	9.01 ^a ± 0.15	4.78 ^c ± 0.06	1.86 ^f ± 0.03	4.91 ^c ± 0.07	1.95 ^f ± 0.03	2.08 ^f ± 0.05	0.96 ^b ± 0.01
Arg	4.91 ^c ± 0.05	3.40 ^{e-1} ± 0.03	0.58 ^g ± 0.01	0.38 ^b ± 0.01	4.54 ^d ± 0.05	5.74 ^b ± 0.06	4.85 ^{c-d} ± 0.05	7.68 ^a ± 0.07	3.67 ^c ± 0.04	5.68 ^b ± 0.07	4.63 ^d ± 0.04	3.18 ^f ± 0.03	3.12 ^f ± 0.05	0.41 ^b ± 0.01
Asn	4.67 ^a ± 0.06	2.56 ^c ± 0.04	0.40 ^g ± 0.02	0.35 ^g ± 0.01	1.57 ^f ± 0.06	1.67 ^{e-f} ± 0.05	2.92 ^b ± 0.04	1.42 ^f ± 0.04	2.21 ^d ± 0.03	1.80 ^e ± 0.04	2.73 ^{b-c} ± 0.06	2.10 ^d ± 0.03	2.42 ^c ± 0.04	0.31 ^g ± 0.01
Asp	6.20 ^a ± 0.05	3.88 ^c ± 0.03	0.45 ^f ± 0.02	0.43 ¹ ± 0.01	1.94 ^h ± 0.05	2.92 ^{e-f} ± 0.06	4.34 ^b ± 0.05	2.87 ^f ± 0.05	3.07 ^{e-f} ± 0.04	2.52 ^g ± 0.05	4.10 ^{b-c} ± 0.08	3.14 ^e ± 0.05	3.40 ^d ± 0.06	0.36 ^f ± 0.01
Cys	1.92 ^b ± 0.07	0.43 ^f ± 0.03	0.18 ^g ± 0.01	0.20 ^g ± 0.01	0.70 ^d ± 0.04	4.16 ^c ± 0.16	0.67 ^d ± 0.02	0.05 ^h ± 0.00	0.49 ^e ± 0.04	0.65 ^d ± 0.02	1.05 ^c ± 0.05	0.70 ^d ± 0.02	0.69 ^d ± 0.03	0.19 ^g ± 0.01
Gln	4.32 ^b ± 0.09	11.2 ^a ± 0.16	1.44 ^h ± 0.03	1.02 ¹ ± 0.05	3.81 ^d ± 0.08	2.86 ^{f-g} ± 0.10	3.94 ^{c-d} ± 0.06	3.03 ^f ± 0.08	2.81 ^{f-g} ± 0.06	2.66 ^g ± 0.04	3.54 ^e ± 0.05	3.80 ^d ± 0.07	4.11 ^{b-c} ± 0.09	0.85 ¹ ± 0.02
Glu	6.38 ^b ± 0.11	9.38 ^a ± 0.12	1.92 ^g ± 0.04	0.64 ¹ ± 0.03	4.39 ^{e-f} ± 0.10	4.81 ^{c-d} ± 0.13	6.01 ^b ± 0.11	5.26 ^c ± 0.13	4.05 ^f ± 0.06	4.18 ^f ± 0.08	4.89 ^{c-d} ± 0.11	4.17 ^f ± 0.12	4.53 ^{d-e} ± 0.14	1.18 ^h ± 0.03
Gly	3.86 ^e ± 0.05	1.86 ^f ± 0.04	0.78 ^f ± 0.02	0.40 ^k ± 0.01	2.12 ^{h-1} ± 0.04	8.95 ^c ± 0.11	6.58 ^d ± 0.07	33.6 ^a ± 0.32	8.67 ^c ± 0.12	3.17 ^f ± 0.06	9.42 ^b ± 0.07	2.30 ^h ± 0.03	2.72 ^g ± 0.05	0.39 ^k ± 0.01
His	5.57 ^a ± 0.08	2.78 ^b ± 0.05	0.22 ¹ ± 0.01	0.23 ¹ ± 0.01	1.08 ^g ± 0.03	0.88 ^b ± 0.02	1.51 ^c ± 0.02	0.74 ⁱ ± 0.02	1.19 ^{e-f} ± 0.02	0.95 ^h ± 0.02	1.30 ^{d-e} ± 0.02	1.13 ^g ± 0.02	1.15 ^{f-g} ± 0.03	0.23 ^j ± 0.01
Hyp	0.51 ^f ± 0.01	0.14 ^g ± 0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05 ^h ± 0.00	4.95 ^b ± 0.10	1.86 ^e ± 0.05	12.8 ^a ± 0.24	2.88 ^d ± 0.06	0.07 ^h ± 0.00	3.31 ^c ± 0.08	0.08 ^h ± 0.01	0.07 ^h ± 0.00	0.00
Ile	2.54 ^d ± 0.05	4.91 ^a ± 0.06	0.51 ^h ± 0.01	0.34 ¹ ± 0.01	1.19 ^g ± 0.02	3.79 ^b ± 0.04	3.26 ^c ± 0.04	1.17 ^g ± 0.04	1.92 ^c ± 0.05	1.41 ^f ± 0.04	2.32 ^d ± 0.03	2.03 ^e ± 0.04	2.10 ^e ± 0.05	0.38 ¹ ± 0.01
Leu	11.4 ^a ± 0.13	8.82 ^b ± 0.05	0.88 ^j ± 0.02	1.13 ¹ ± 0.02	2.26 ^h ± 0.03	6.75 ^c ± 0.06	5.24 ^d ± 0.05	2.61 ^g ± 0.04	3.56 ^f ± 0.04	2.48 ^{g-h} ± 0.04	4.21 ^c ± 0.05	3.44 ^f ± 0.05	3.70 ^f ± 0.07	1.21 ¹ ± 0.02
Lys	8.25 ^a ± 0.07	7.49 ^b ± 0.09	0.41 ^k ± 0.01	0.25 ¹ ± 0.01	1.66 ^j ± 0.03	2.16 ^b ± 0.05	5.29 ^c ± 0.04	3.75 ^d ± 0.08	3.16 ^f ± 0.04	1.37 ^j ± 0.01	3.44 ^{e-f} ± 0.05	2.80 ^g ± 0.03	2.87 ^g ± 0.04	0.22 ¹ ± 0.01
Met	1.16 ^d ± 0.03	2.64 ^d ± 0.05	0.19 ¹ ± 0.01	0.21 ¹ ± 0.01	0.66 ^f ± 0.01	0.75 ^e ± 0.02	2.02 ^b ± 0.02	1.03 ^d ± 0.03	1.10 ^d ± 0.02	0.47 ^h ± 0.01	1.39 ^c ± 0.03	0.60 ^g ± 0.01	0.64 ^g ± 0.02	0.20 ¹ ± 0.01
Phe	5.83 ^a ± 0.07	4.87 ^b ± 0.04	0.50 ^h ± 0.01	0.46 ^h ± 0.01	2.02 ^f ± 0.04	3.95 ^c ± 0.05	2.78 ^{d-e} ± 0.03	1.67 ^g ± 0.05	1.85 ^{f-g} ± 0.04	1.93 ^f ± 0.03	2.36 ^c ± 0.04	2.21 ^e ± 0.03	2.44 ^e ± 0.03	0.51 ^h ± 0.01
Pro	6.29 ^e ± 0.12	10.8 ^c ± 0.14	0.98 ^k ± 0.03	1.06 ^k ± 0.02	1.89 ^j ± 0.06	11.8 ^b ± 0.15	4.25 ^g ± 0.03	20.6 ^a ± 0.30	5.86 ^f ± 0.13	2.30 ⁱ ± 0.04	6.72 ^d ± 0.12	3.05 ^h ± 0.05	3.18 ^h ± 0.08	0.96 ^k ± 0.03
Ser	4.49 ^c ± 0.10	5.08 ^b ± 0.04	0.56 ⁱ ± 0.02	0.45 ^j ± 0.01	1.72 ^h ± 0.04	8.80 ^a ± 0.14	2.80 ^e ± 0.04	3.44 ^d ± 0.04	2.08 ^g ± 0.05	2.03 ^g ± 0.03	2.67 ^c ± 0.05	2.12 ^g ± 0.04	2.35 ^f ± 0.03	0.46 ^j ± 0.01
Trp	1.30 ^a ± 0.02	1.24 ^b ± 0.03	0.15 ^b ± 0.01	0.07 ⁱ ± 0.00	0.44 ^{d-e} ± 0.01	0.80 ^b ± 0.02	0.70 ^c ± 0.02	0.22 ^g ± 0.01	0.39 ^g ± 0.01	0.38 ^g ± 0.01	0.49 ^c ± 0.01	0.62 ^d ± 0.01	0.63 ^d ± 0.01	0.10 ¹ ± 0.00
Thr	3.95 ^a ± 0.04	4.10 ^b ± 0.08	0.42 ^b ± 0.01	0.31 ¹ ± 0.01	1.25 ^g ± 0.03	3.97 ^a ± 0.05	4.11 ^a ± 0.04	3.45 ^b ± 0.04	2.42 ^d ± 0.04	1.67 ^f ± 0.02	2.85 ^c ± 0.05	1.76 ^f ± 0.02	2.03 ^c ± 0.04	0.32 ¹ ± 0.01
Tyr	2.86 ^b ± 0.05	5.06 ^g ± 0.05	0.55 ^j ± 0.01	0.43 ^k ± 0.01	1.10 ^h ± 0.03	2.04 ^d ± 0.04	2.36 ^c ± 0.03	0.93 ⁱ ± 0.02	1.45 ^g ± 0.03	1.39 ^g ± 0.03	1.84 ^c ± 0.03	1.66 ^f ± 0.03	1.72 ^{c-f} ± 0.04	0.45 ^k ± 0.01
Val	8.21 ^a ± 0.06	6.03 ^b ± 0.08	0.53 ⁱ ± 0.02	0.44 ^j ± 0.01	1.69 ^h ± 0.02	5.76 ^c ± 0.07	3.80 ^d ± 0.03	1.96 ^g ± 0.04	2.23 ^f ± 0.03	1.70 ^b ± 0.04	2.89 ^e ± 0.05	2.09 ^g ± 0.04	2.25 ^f ± 0.04	0.50 ¹ ± 0.01

Values are mean ± SEM ($n = 6$). Molecular weights of intact AA were used to calculate the content of peptide-bound AA in feed ingredients

CSM cottonseed meal, DH dehydrated, Hyp hydroxyproline, MBM meat and bone meal, PBM poultry byproduct meal, SBM soybean meal

a-1 Means with different superscripts within a row differ ($P < 0.05$)

abundant in fish meal, gelatin, MBM, and PBM (6.1–21%), while these ingredients had relatively high percentages of alanine (4.2–9.0%) and aspartate plus asparagine (4.3–7.3%). Thus, proline content was highest ($P < 0.05$) in gelatin (21%), followed by feather meal (12%), PBM (6.7%), and MBM (5.9%). Gelatin, feather meal, PBM, MBM, and fish meal also had large amounts of hydroxyproline (1.9–12.8%), whereas other ingredients contained very low or little quantity of this AA (0.0–0.20%). It is noteworthy that glycine content was relatively lower ($P < 0.05$) in blood meal (3.9%) and casein (1.9%), compared with most of other AA. Tyrosine content was generally much higher ($P < 0.05$) in animal ingredients (0.93–5.1%) than in plant products (0.43–1.7%), with the highest and lowest percentages in casein (5.1%) and corn grain (0.43%), respectively.

On an as-fed basis (g/100 g sample), animal ingredients contained higher ($P < 0.05$) content of EAA than plant products (Table 4). For example, BCAA content (g/100 g sample) in blood meal and casein was 22 and 20%, respectively, but only approximately 2% in cookie meal, corn grain, and sorghum grain. Of particular note, in some ingredients (casein, corn grain, SBM, and sorghum), BCAA content was similar to that of glutamate plus glutamine. Additionally, lysine content was high in blood meal (8.3%), casein (7.5%), and fish meal (5.3%), low in corn and sorghum grains (0.22–0.25%), and intermediate in other ingredients (1.4–3.4%). Histidine content was intermediate in blood meal (5.6%), casein (2.8%), fish meal, PBM, and MBM (1.2–1.5%), but was low in corn and sorghum (0.23%). Of particular interest, all plant products had low percentages of methionine (0.19–0.66%) and tryptophan (0.07–0.63%), whereas the content of these two EAA was much higher ($P < 0.05$) in animal ingredients (1.0–2.6%) than in plant products (0.49–1.3%). Threonine content was intermediate in animal ingredients (2.4–4.1%) but much lower in plant products (0.31–2.0%).

Content of predominant AA and sulfur AA in total proteins of feed ingredients

Gelatin protein contained only 6% BCAA (Table 5). However, BCAA accounted for 15–25% of total protein in plant products and other animal ingredients. Except for feather meal and gelatin, which contained low content of glutamate plus glutamine (9.6 and 8.4% of total protein, respectively), the content of these two AA in proteins of all ingredients was higher ($P < 0.05$) than 12%, reaching as much as 32% in cookie meal, 26% in CSM, 24% in casein, 21% in SBM, and 20% in corn grain. In particular, leucine and glutamine were most predominant AA in blood meal (13% of total protein). In most of the tested ingredients, the percentages of aspartate plus asparagine (g/100 g protein)

Table 4 Content of abundant AA and sulfur AA in food ingredients

AA	Blood meal	Casein	Cookie meal	Corn grain	CSM	Feather meal	Fish meal	Gelatin	MBM	Peanut meal	PBM	SBM	SBM (DH)	Sorghum grain
Content (g/100 g of ingredient)														
BA	22.1 ^a ± 0.19	19.8 ^b ± 0.12	1.92 ⁱ ± 0.05	1.91 ⁱ ± 0.04	5.14 ^b ± 0.06	16.3 ^c ± 0.10	12.3 ^d ± 0.09	5.74 ^b ± 0.08	7.71 ^{fg} ± 0.12	5.58 ^h ± 0.10	9.43 ^e ± 0.17	7.56 ^g ± 0.11	8.05 ^f ± 0.15	2.09 ^j ± 0.06
GG	10.7 ^b ± 0.22	20.6 ^a ± 0.29	3.36 ^g ± 0.04	1.66 ⁱ ± 0.05	8.19 ^{cd} ± 0.12	7.67 ^e ± 0.13	9.95 ^b ± 0.07	8.29 ^e ± 0.20	6.86 ^f ± 0.08	6.84 ^f ± 0.09	8.43 ^e ± 0.13	7.97 ^{de} ± 0.12	8.64 ^e ± 0.15	2.03 ^j ± 0.04
AE	10.9 ^a ± 0.09	6.44 ^d ± 0.05	0.85 ⁱ ± 0.03	0.78 ^{ij} ± 0.02	3.51 ^h ± 0.04	4.59 ^g ± 0.05	7.26 ^b ± 0.06	4.28 ^g ± 0.08	5.29 ^f ± 0.07	4.32 ^g ± 0.05	6.83 ^e ± 0.07	5.24 ^f ± 0.05	5.81 ^e ± 0.06	0.67 ^j ± 0.01
PH	6.80 ^e ± 0.08	11.0 ^c ± 0.14	0.98 ^j ± 0.03	1.06 ^j ± 0.02	1.94 ⁱ ± 0.06	16.8 ^b ± 0.18	6.12 ^f ± 0.06	33.5 ^a ± 0.41	8.75 ^d ± 0.15	2.37 ^h ± 0.04	10.1 ^c ± 0.16	3.14 ^g ± 0.05	3.25 ^g ± 0.08	0.96 ^j ± 0.03
CM	3.08 ^b ± 0.09	3.07 ^b ± 0.06	0.37 ^h ± 0.02	0.41 ^h ± 0.02	1.35 ⁱ ± 0.07	4.91 ^a ± 0.11	2.69 ^c ± 0.04	1.08 ^g ± 0.04	1.59 ^e ± 0.05	1.12 ^g ± 0.04	2.44 ^d ± 0.07	1.31 ^f ± 0.04	1.33 ^f ± 0.05	0.39 ^j ± 0.02
Percent of total protein (%)														
BA	25.4 ^a ± 0.42	23.1 ^b ± 0.38	18.2 ^d ± 0.33	23.5 ^b ± 0.36	16.2 ^e ± 0.27	20.3 ^c ± 0.30	19.8 ^c ± 0.32	5.94 ^f ± 0.11	15.3 ^e ± 0.24	16.0 ^e ± 0.21	15.8 ^e ± 0.25	20.1 ^c ± 0.38	19.7 ^e ± 0.34	23.6 ^b ± 0.31
GG	12.4 ^f ± 0.26	24.0 ^b ± 0.47	32.1 ^a ± 0.43	20.3 ^d ± 0.37	25.6 ^b ± 0.30	9.55 ^g ± 0.14	15.7 ^e ± 0.25	8.40 ^g ± 0.17	13.7 ^{ef} ± 0.22	19.7 ^d ± 0.33	14.2 ^e ± 0.26	20.9 ^d ± 0.38	20.8 ^e ± 0.34	23.2 ^c ± 0.36
AE	12.5 ^b ± 0.24	7.52 ^e ± 0.09	8.16 ^f ± 0.13	9.64 ^e ± 0.16	11.0 ^{cd} ± 0.20	5.61 ^h ± 0.12	11.4 ^{cd} ± 0.18	4.31 ⁱ ± 0.09	10.6 ^d ± 0.19	12.4 ^{bc} ± 0.26	11.5 ^{cd} ± 0.18	13.7 ^{ab} ± 0.23	14.2 ^{cd} ± 0.32	7.55 ^e ± 0.12
PH	7.75 ^g ± 0.18	13.0 ^d ± 0.32	9.36 ^f ± 0.25	13.1 ^d ± 0.28	6.03 ^h ± 0.15	21.0 ^b ± 0.36	9.64 ^f ± 0.28	34.5 ^a ± 0.69	17.5 ^c ± 0.37	6.80 ^h ± 0.22	16.9 ^e ± 0.40	8.01 ^g ± 0.14	7.92 ^g ± 0.16	11.0 ^e ± 0.28
CM	3.46 ^{ef} ± 0.07	3.61 ^e ± 0.09	3.55 ^e ± 0.11	5.07 ^b ± 0.13	4.28 ^{cd} ± 0.10	6.09 ^d ± 0.15	4.27 ^{cd} ± 0.08	1.14 ^g ± 0.04	3.25 ^f ± 0.07	3.16 ^f ± 0.08	4.08 ^d ± 0.12	3.46 ^e ± 0.10	3.13 ^f ± 0.08	4.48 ^e ± 0.15

Values (mean ± SEM, $n = 6$) are expressed as mg/g sample for free AA (except cysteine) or µg/g sample for glutathione and cysteine

AE aspartate plus asparagine, BA branched-chain AA (isoleucine + leucine + valine), DH dehydrated, CM cysteine plus methionine, GG glutamate plus glutamine, MBM meat and bone meal, PBM poultry byproduct meal, PH proline plus hydroxyproline, SBM soybean meal

^{a–j} Means with different superscripts within a row differ ($P < 0.05$)

Table 5 Content of total free AA and glutathione in food ingredients (as-fed basis)

AA	Blood meal	Casacin	Cookie meal	Corn grain	CSM	Feather meal	Fish meal	Gelatin	MBM	Peanut meal	PBM	SBM	SBM (DH)	Sorghum grain
TFA	7.56 ^b ± 0.15	3.89 ^d ± 0.06	2.66 ^e ± 0.08	0.23 ⁱ ± 0.01	0.80 ^b ± 0.02	1.03 ^g ± 0.02	14.3 ^a ± 0.13	0.71 ^h ± 0.02	1.32 ^f ± 0.04	4.50 ^c ± 0.09	1.52 ^f ± 0.05	1.02 ^g ± 0.03	0.99 ^g ± 0.04	1.36 ^f ± 0.07
Ala	0.76 ^a ± 0.03	0.23 ^c ± 0.01	0.13 ^d ± 0.01	0.01 ^f ± 0.00	0.05 ^e ± 0.00	0.05 ^e ± 0.00	0.58 ^b ± 0.03	0.06 ^e ± 0.00	0.06 ^e ± 0.00	0.65 ^b ± 0.04	0.07 ^e ± 0.00	0.07 ^e ± 0.00	0.07 ^e ± 0.00	0.25 ^c ± 0.01
βAla	0.02 ^a ± 0.00	0.04 ^a ± 0.00	0.04 ^a ± 0.00	0.01 ^g ± 0.00	0.04 ^a ± 0.00	0.02 ^a ± 0.00	0.07 ^b ± 0.00	0.00	0.09 ^a ± 0.01	0.03 ^c ± 0.00	0.05 ^c ± 0.00	0.06 ^c ± 0.00	0.05 ^c ± 0.00	0.03 ^c ± 0.00
Arg	0.15 ^a ± 0.01	0.96 ^b ± 0.02	0.57 ^c ± 0.01	0.01 ^g ± 0.00	0.03 ^g ± 0.00	0.02 ^g ± 0.01	7.37 ^a ± 0.16	0.03 ^g ± 0.00	0.20 ^d ± 0.01	0.20 ^d ± 0.01	0.21 ^d ± 0.01	0.10 ^f ± 0.00	0.03 ^g ± 0.00	0.03 ^g ± 0.00
Asp	0.23 ^c ± 0.01	0.60 ^b ± 0.02	0.50 ^c ± 0.02	0.01 ^h ± 0.00	0.02 ^h ± 0.00	0.15 ^f ± 0.01	0.86 ^a ± 0.04	0.13 ^f ± 0.01	0.34 ^d ± 0.02	0.36 ^d ± 0.02	0.24 ^e ± 0.02	0.13 ^f ± 0.01	0.04 ^b ± 0.00	0.09 ^g ± 0.01
Asn	0.03 ^a ± 0.00	0.21 ^d ± 0.01	0.20 ^d ± 0.01	0.01 ^g ± 0.00	0.01 ^g ± 0.00	0.01 ^g ± 0.00	2.09 ^a ± 0.08	0.14 ^e ± 0.01	0.35 ^b ± 0.01	0.05 ^f ± 0.00	0.25 ^e ± 0.01	0.21 ^f ± 0.01	0.02 ^g ± 0.00	0.12 ^e ± 0.01
Cit	0.11 ^b ± 0.01	0.48 ^a ± 0.03	0.01 ^c ± 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01 ^c ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ^c ± 0.00	0.03 ^c ± 0.00	0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.01 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00
Glu	0.39 ^d ± 0.01	0.68 ^b ± 0.02	0.49 ^c ± 0.02	0.01 ^j ± 0.00	0.03 ^h ± 0.00	0.04 ⁱ ± 0.00	1.31 ^a ± 0.06	0.09 ^h ± 0.01	0.11 ^h ± 0.01	0.51 ^c ± 0.03	0.33 ^e ± 0.01	0.18 ^g ± 0.01	0.10 ^b ± 0.01	0.24 ^f ± 0.01
Gln	0.15 ^a ± 0.01	0.01 ^d ± 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06 ^b ± 0.00	0.04 ^e ± 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.00	0.00
Gly	0.62 ^a ± 0.03	0.07 ^g ± 0.00	0.07 ^g ± 0.00	0.11 ^f ± 0.01	0.43 ^b ± 0.03	0.20 ^d ± 0.01	0.15 ^e ± 0.01	0.03 ^h ± 0.00	0.04 ^b ± 0.00	0.46 ^b ± 0.03	0.07 ^g ± 0.00	0.03 ^h ± 0.00	0.33 ^c ± 0.02	0.17 ^d ± 0.01
Leu	0.72 ^a ± 0.05	0.03 ^d ± 0.00	0.03 ^d ± 0.00	0.02 ^d ± 0.00	0.03 ^d ± 0.00	0.04 ^d ± 0.01	0.08 ^c ± 0.01	0.02 ^d ± 0.00	0.03 ^h ± 0.00	0.33 ^b ± 0.02	0.03 ^d ± 0.00	0.03 ^h ± 0.00	0.04 ^d ± 0.00	0.08 ^c ± 0.01
Lys	0.22 ^a ± 0.01	0.05 ^c ± 0.00	0.03 ^a ± 0.00	0.01 ^e ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.03 ^a ± 0.00	0.20 ^a ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.15 ^b ± 0.01	0.02 ^e ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00
Orn	0.08 ^a ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.03 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.05 ^b ± 0.00	0.05 ^b ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.05 ^b ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^c ± 0.00
Pro	0.71 ^a ± 0.01	0.07 ^d ± 0.00	0.04 ^c ± 0.00	0.02 ^f ± 0.00	0.02 ^f ± 0.00	0.25 ^b ± 0.01	0.13 ^c ± 0.01	0.05 ^d ± 0.00	0.06 ^d ± 0.00	0.28 ^b ± 0.01	0.12 ^c ± 0.01	0.05 ^d ± 0.00	0.05 ^d ± 0.00	0.06 ^d ± 0.00
Ser	0.08 ^c ± 0.01	0.03 ^d ± 0.00	0.03 ^d ± 0.00	0.02 ^d ± 0.00	0.02 ^d ± 0.00	0.02 ^d ± 0.00	0.45 ^a ± 0.03	0.02 ^d ± 0.00	0.04 ^d ± 0.00	0.16 ^c ± 0.01	0.04 ^d ± 0.00	0.03 ^d ± 0.00	0.03 ^d ± 0.00	0.04 ^d ± 0.00
Tau	1.52 ^a ± 0.06	0.03 ^b ± 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03 ^b ± 0.00	0.02 ^b ± 0.00	0.00	0.02 ^b ± 0.00	0.00	0.02 ^b ± 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cys	0.48 ^a ± 0.02	0.30 ^k ± 0.01	3.72 ^e ± 0.15	6.94 ^d ± 0.32	2.06 ^f ± 0.13	0.69 ^j ± 0.03	1.40 ^g ± 0.08	16.8 ^b ± 1.0	1.52 ^g ± 0.09	55.7 ^a ± 2.0	1.05 ^h ± 0.06	17.0 ^b ± 0.72	10.7 ^c ± 0.76	1.50 ^g ± 0.07
GSH	13.5 ^f ± 0.8	6.22 ^g ± 0.3	64.7 ^d ± 1.4	115 ^e ± 3	119 ^e ± 4	0.70 ^j ± 0.04	6.63 ^g ± 0.42	1.41 ^h ± 0.11	6.60 ^g ± 0.3	634 ^a ± 15	67.7 ^d ± 1.5	169 ^b ± 5	122 ^c ± 3	44.8 ^e ± 2.5

Values (mean ± SEM, $n = 6$) are expressed as mg/g sample for free AA (except cysteine) or µg/g sample for glutathione and cysteine

βAla β-alanine, Cit citrulline, Cys free cysteine + free cystine, DH dehydrated, GSH total glutathione, MBM meat and bone meal, Orn ornithine, PBM poultry byproduct meal, SBM soybean meal, Tau taurine, TFA total free amino acids

a–k Means with different superscripts within a row differ ($P < 0.05$)

ranged from 10 to 14% in protein, whereas aspartate alone represented 3.6–7 and 4.6–8.2% of total protein, respectively, in animal products (except for gelatin) and plant ingredients. Notably, proline plus hydroxyproline accounted for 35 and 21% of total protein in gelatin and feather meal, respectively. The content of methionine plus cysteine in protein was highest ($P < 0.05$) in feather meal (6.1%), intermediate in casein, cookie meal, CSM, fish meal, MBM, peanut meal, PBM, SBM, and dehulled SBM (3.1–5.1%), and lowest ($P < 0.05$) in gelatin (1.1%).

Content of glutathione and free AA in feed ingredients

Except for fish meal which contained 1.4% free AA (g/100 g sample), total free AA accounted for <1% of total AA in other ingredients (Table 5). Thus, data on total AA content in Table 4 represent essentially true protein in the ingredients. Among the analyzed ingredients, blood meal and casein contained higher ($P < 0.05$) content of free AA than other products. Taurine was relatively abundant in blood meal (0.15%), present at 0.02–0.03% in other animal ingredients (casein, feather meal, and fish meal), and absent in gelatin and all plant products. Many of free AA were not detected in plant ingredients (a detection limit 0.3 nmol/mL). Interestingly, the content of glutathione was highest ($P < 0.05$) in peanut meal (634 ppm), followed by SBM (169 ppm), CSM (119 ppm), and animal products (6–68 ppm). Similarly, the content of free cysteine was highest ($P < 0.05$) in peanut meal (56 ppm), followed by SBM (17 ppm). Among the analyzed samples, the content of free citrulline content was highest in casein (0.05%) but negligible in other products. Likewise, ornithine and β-alanine were negligible in all of the tested ingredients.

Discussion

The traditional classification of NEAA has underestimated their important functions in nutrition, physiology, and health (Frank et al. 2007; Jobgen et al. 2006; Wu and Morris 1998; Wu 2009). Thus, information about NEAA composition in feed ingredients is missing from the current National Research Council (NRC)-recommended nutrient requirements for animals (NRC 1994, 1998). Compelling evidence from recent studies shows that NEAA play versatile roles in regulating nitrogen metabolism, gene expression, cell signaling, and whole-body homeostasis (Avruch et al. 2009; Curi et al. 2005; Escobar et al. 2006; Fu et al. 2005; Palii et al. 2009; Rhoads and Wu 2009; Tan et al. 2010). Unfortunately, information about complete composition of NEAA (including glutamate, glutamine, aspartate, and asparagine) in diets is currently not available and has often been ignored by researchers (e.g., Deng et al.

2009; Han et al. 2009; Kong et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2010; NRC 1998). Additionally, glutamate plus glutamine and aspartate plus asparagine in dietary proteins are generally presented in the published literature as glutamate and aspartate, respectively, because standard conditions of acid hydrolysis convert glutamine into glutamate and asparagine into aspartate (Wu 2009). To our knowledge, this is the first report of complete NEAA in select food ingredients. Such a database is important for formulating balanced diets for young, growing, lactating, and gestating animals which cannot synthesize adequately at least some NEAA, including arginine (He et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2010; Mateo et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2007), glutamine (Haynes et al. 2009; Wu et al. 1996, 2010a), glutamate (Hou et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2002; Zimmerman 1975), and proline (Ball et al. 1986; Kirchgessner et al. 1995; Wu 1997).

Glutamine and glutamate were the most abundant and third most abundant AA in casein (a major protein in milk), respectively (Table 5). For example, glutamine alone represented 13% of total protein in casein. This explains why the milk of all species is rich in protein-bound glutamate plus glutamine (Davis et al. 1994; Wu and Knabe 1994). Interestingly, glutamine and glutamate were the second and most abundant AA in some plant proteins, respectively, or among the most abundant AA in other ingredients (Table 3). Based on gene sequences of some known proteins in select foods, Lenders et al. (2009) estimated that glutamine accounted for 1% (kidney bean) to 33% (whole grain bread) of total protein in common foods. Our findings that glutamine and glutamate are particularly abundant in proteins of animal and plant ingredients underscore the importance of these two NEAA in animal growth and development (Blachier et al. 2010; Burrin and Reeds 1997; Flynn et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2009). For example, both glutamate and glutamine are major energy substrates in the mammalian small intestine to maintain its mucosal integrity and function (Stoll and Burrin 2006; Wu et al. 1995). Additionally, glutamine upregulates expression of anti-oxidative genes (Brasse-Lagnel et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2008), inhibits expression of inflammatory cytokines (Curi et al. 2005), and prevents endotoxin- or oxidant-induced apoptosis (Haynes et al. 2009) in intestinal cells. Thus, casein or blood meal would be an excellent source of glutamine for weanling neonates to ameliorate gut inflammation, injury, and atrophy (Tan et al. 2009a, b).

Aspartate and alanine were the fourth most abundant AA in SBM and other ingredients (blood meal, corn grain, fish meal, gelatin, PBM, and sorghum grain), respectively (Table 3). In addition, both aspartate and alanine were among the most abundant AA in other ingredients of animal and plant origin. This is consistent with important roles for these two NEAA in nutrition (Wu 2009). For example, alanine is a major glucogenic substrate in all mammals, and

glucose is essential for the functions of brain and red blood cells (Jobgen et al. 2006). Additionally, like glutamate, 95% of dietary aspartate is degraded by the small intestine in first pass as an important oxidative fuel (Reeds and Burrin 2001; Stoll and Burrin 2006). In all ingredients tested, the content of asparagine was much lower than that of aspartate (Table 3). Thus, aspartate was the major constituent of the aspartate plus asparagine components reported for animal diets (Wu et al. 2010a, b). Unlike aspartate, approximately 85% of dietary asparagine enters the portal circulation to support whole-body protein synthesis (Wu et al. 2010b). Thus, it can be surmised that endogenous synthesis of aspartate is much greater than that of asparagine in animals.

Proline plus hydroxyproline constitute one-third of AA in collagens on molar basis (Phang et al. 2008), and this is also true for glycine (Wu et al. 1999). Thus, ingredients of animal origin (hydrolyzed feather meal, fish meal, gelatin, MBM, and PBM) containing connective tissue are excellent sources of these three AA (Table 3) that play important roles in nutrition and physiology (Wu and Morris 1998; Wu 2009; Wu et al. 2009). Interestingly, glycine content was relatively high in CSM, peanut meal, and cookie meal (Table 3). In contrast, expressed as the percentage of total protein, glycine was among the least abundant AA in casein and blood meal, while being intermediate in SBM and sorghum grain. This explains why the milk of mammals (including humans, cows, and pigs) is remarkably deficient in glycine (Davis et al. 1994; Wu and Knabe 1994), and why dietary glycine is inadequate for maximum protein synthesis in both suckling and postweaning mammals (Wu et al. 2010b) as well as fish (Li et al. 2009d). Such knowledge will lead to the development of new formulations for feeding (1) breast-fed or formula milk-fed neonates whose foods have low glycine content (Kim and Wu 2004; Wu et al. 2010b); (2) poultry which cannot adequately synthesize proline or glycine relative to their needs (Austic 1976; Baker 2009); and (3) wounded animals whose tissue repair requires large amounts of proline and glycine (Wu et al. 2009). While hydroxyproline was traditionally considered to have little nutritional value (Maynard et al. 1979), this unique AA is now recognized as (1) a quantitatively important substrate for the synthesis of glycine, pyruvate, and glucose; (2) a scavenger of oxidants; and (3) a regulator of the cellular redox state (Phang et al. 2008; Wu et al. 2010).

Arginine content was relatively low in casein (Table 3), explaining why the milk of most species (including humans, cows, and pigs) is remarkably deficient in this AA (Davis et al. 1994; Wu and Knabe 1994). However, arginine was the most abundant AA in CSM and peanut meal, and among the most abundant AA in hydrolyzed feather meal, fish meal, gelatin, MBM, PBM, and SBM (Table 3).

Expressed per gram of ingredient, the predominant source of arginine among the tested ingredients was gelatin, followed by hydrolyzed feather meal, peanut meal, blood meal, PBM, and CSM. Such an abundance of arginine in gelatin has not previously been recognized and could be taken into consideration in the formulation of diets for mammalian, avian, and aquatic species. Because arginine plays important roles in enhancing embryonic/fetal survival and growth (Mateo et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2006; Zeng et al. 2008), lactation performance (Kim and Wu 2009; Mateo et al. 2008), tissue protein synthesis (Wu et al. 2010d; Yao et al. 2008), postnatal growth and development (Wu et al. 2004), spermatogenesis (Wu et al. 2009), cardiovascular function, and immune response (Li et al. 2007; Tan et al. 2009a, b), arginine-rich ingredients could be used to improve animal health and the efficiency of nutrient utilization.

Appropriate combinations of corn and SBM in diets could provide adequate levels of all EAA (with exception of lysine for growing animals) to meet the current NRC-recommended dietary requirements of livestock species and poultry (NRC 1994, 1998). However, if a diet for postweaning pigs contains a high level (e.g., 37%) of SBM, it supplies 40–60% greater amounts of most EAA than needed as substrates for tissue protein accretion (Wu et al. 2010b). Excess EAA must be degraded via interorgan cooperation by both the small intestine and extra-intestinal tissues because free AA are not stored in the body (Wu 2009). This constitutes a significant waste of dietary protein, which is the most expensive component of any diet for animals (Baker 2009; Kim and Wu 2004, 2009). Although NRC (1998) currently does not recommend dietary requirements of NEAA (Table 1) for swine (except arginine for the young pig), there is evidence that some of them are not adequately synthesized by animals (Wu et al. 2010a, b). For example, the typical corn- and SBM-based diet does not provide adequate amounts of arginine, proline, aspartate, glutamate, glutamine, or glycine for postweaning growing pigs (Wu et al. 2010b). In this regard, it is noteworthy that these six AA were particularly abundant in blood meal, hydrolyzed feather meal, fish meal, MBM, and PBM (Table 3). Additionally, while all ingredients provide various amounts of glutathione (a major antioxidant), only animal products contain taurine (Table 5), which is a major antioxidant, a key regulator of cellular redox state, and a necessary osmolyte in the body (Wu 2009). Thus, through complementary effects (Baker 2009; Wu 2009), appropriate inclusion of blood meal, hydrolyzed feather meal, fish meal, MBM, and PBM in plant protein-based diets plays a crucial role in formulating balanced diets for nursery, growing, gestating, and lactating livestock species (Li et al. 2009c; Mateo et al. 2008; Wu et al. 2007), as well as poultry (Baker 2009;

NRC 1994) and fish (Li et al. 2009c). This will help substantially to improve their production performance, efficiency of nutrient utilization, and health.

As noted previously, it has long been assumed tactically, without much evidence, that animals or humans could synthesize sufficient amounts of all NEAA and did not need them in diets for optimal nutrition or health (NRC 1994, 1998). At present, little is known about quantitative requirements of NEAA by mammals (Wu et al. 2009), birds (Baker 2009), or fish (Li et al. 2009d). However, results of recent studies indicate that sow milk supplies at most only 40% of arginine for protein accretion in 7–21-day-old suckling pigs and that inadequate synthesis of arginine is a major factor limiting their maximum growth (Wu et al. 2004). Likewise, endogenous synthesis provides at least 0.88 g glutamine/kg bodyweight per day in milk-fed young pigs (Wu et al. 2010b) but still cannot support their maximum growth (Haynes et al. 2009). There is also evidence that *de novo* synthesis of arginine (Tan et al. 2009a; Wu et al. 2010d), glutamine (Wang et al. 2008; Wu et al. 1996), or proline (Wu et al. 2010c) is insufficient to maintain intestinal-mucosal morphology or maximum growth of the gut and the whole body in postweaning pigs.

Dietary requirements (DR) of NEAA by animals and humans for growth, reproduction, wool production, or lactation can be estimated as follows:

$$DR = (MN_{SI} + MN_{EIT} - ES_{SI} - ES_{EIT})/RE,$$

where MN_{SI} and MN_{EIT} are the metabolic needs of the small intestine (SI) and extra-intestinal tissues (EIT), respectively; ES_{SI} and ES_{EIT} are endogenous syntheses in the small intestine and extra-intestinal tissues, respectively; and RE is protein digestibility (rate of the entry of dietary protein-bound AA into the portal vein). Metabolic needs of NEAA include (1) oxidation as energy sources, (2) protein synthesis, (3) generation of biologically active substances, and (4) formation of tissue- or sex-specific products (e.g., mucin, egg, spermatozoa, embryo/fetus, wool, and milk). Calculated values of dietary NEAA requirements should be verified by feeding trials, nitrogen-balance experiments, or studies of functional outcome (e.g., fertility, health, and survival).

In summary, this is the first report of the content of glutamate, glutamine, aspartate, and asparagine in feed-stuffs. The study also provides complete composition of NEAA in feed ingredients for animal diets. Except for gelatin, both animal and plant ingredients contained high content of glutamate plus glutamine, BCAA, proline plus hydroxyproline, and aspartate plus asparagine. While glutamine and glutamate were among the most abundant AA in casein and plant proteins, glycine and proline plus hydroxyproline were distinctly predominant in hydrolyzed feather meal, fish meal, gelatin, MBM, and PBM. Among

the tested ingredients, gelatin contained the highest amounts of arginine, glycine, proline, and hydroxyproline. Thus, animal products are excellent sources of both EAA and NEAA to support maximal growth and production performance of animals. Because casein, corn, soybean, peanut, fish, and gelatin are consumed by children and adults, our findings also have important implications for human nutrition (Elango et al. 2009; McKnight et al. 2010).

Acknowledgments This work was supported, in part, by National Research Initiative Competitive Grants from the Animal Reproduction Program (2008-35203-19120) and Animal Growth & Nutrient Utilization Program (2008-35206-18764) of the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, and Texas AgriLife Research (H-82000). We thank all the personnel in our laboratory for their technical support, Dr. Darrell Knabe for helpful discussion, and Ms. Frances Mutscher for office support.

References

- Austic RE (1976) Nutritional and metabolic interrelationships of arginine, glutamic acid and proline in the chicken. *Fed Proc* 35:1914–1916
- Avruch J, Long XM, Ortiz-Vega S et al (2009) Amino acid regulation of TOR complex 1. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 296:E592–E602
- Baker DH (2009) Advances in protein-amino acid nutrition of poultry. *Amino Acids* 37:29–41
- Ball RO, Atkinson JL, Bayley HS (1986) Proline as an essential amino acid for the young pig. *Br J Nutr* 55:659–668
- Blachier F, Boutry C, Bos C et al (2009) Metabolism and functions of L-glutamate in the epithelial cells of the small and large intestines. *Am J Clin Nutr* 90:814S–821S
- Blachier F, Lancha AH Jr, Boutry C et al (2010) Alimentary proteins, amino acids and cholesterolemia. *Amino Acids* 38:15–22
- Brasse-Lagnel C, Lavoinne A, Husson A (2009) Control of mammalian gene expression by amino acids, especially glutamine. *FEBS J* 276:1826–1844
- Burrin DG, Reeds PJ (1997) Alternative fuels in the gastrointestinal tract. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 13:165–170
- Chen LX, Li P, Wang JJ et al (2009) Catabolism of nutritionally essential amino acids in developing porcine enterocytes. *Amino Acids* 37:143–152
- Curi R, Lagranha CJ, Doi SQ et al (2005) Molecular mechanisms of glutamine action. *J Cell Physiol* 204:392–401
- Davis TA, Nguyen HV, Garcia-Bravo R et al (1994) Amino acid composition of human milk is not unique. *J Nutr* 124:1126–1132
- Deng D, Yin YL, Chu WY et al (2009) Impaired translation initiation activation and reduced protein synthesis in weaned piglets fed a low-protein diet. *J Nutr Biochem* 20:544–552
- Elango R, Ball RO, Pencharz PB (2009) Amino acid requirements in humans: with a special emphasis on the metabolic availability of amino acids. *Amino Acids* 37:19–27
- Escobar J, Frank JW, Suryawan A et al (2006) Regulation of cardiac and skeletal muscle protein synthesis by individual branched-chain amino acids in neonatal pigs. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 290:E612–E621
- Flynn NE, Bird JG, Guthrie AS (2009) Glucocorticoid regulation of amino acid and polyamine metabolism in the small intestine. *Amino Acids* 37:123–129
- Frank J, Escobar J, Nguyen HV et al (2007) Oral N-carbamylglutamate supplementation increases protein synthesis in skeletal muscle of piglets. *J Nutr* 137:315–319
- Fu WJ, Haynes TE, Kohli R et al (2005) Dietary L-arginine supplementation reduces fat mass in Zucker diabetic fatty rats. *J Nutr* 135:714–721
- Fu WJ, Stromberg AJ, Viele K et al (2010) Statistics and bioinformatics in nutritional sciences: analysis of complex data in the era of systems biology. *J Nutr Biochem* 21:561–572
- Han J, Liu YL, Fan W et al (2009) Dietary L-arginine supplementation alleviates immunosuppression induced by cyclophosphamide in weaned pigs. *Amino Acids* 37:643–651
- Haynes TE, Li P, Li XL et al (2009) L-Glutamine or L-alanyl-L-glutamine prevents oxidant- or endotoxin-induced death of neonatal enterocytes. *Amino Acids* 37:131–142
- He QH, Kong XF, Wu GY et al (2009) Metabolomic analysis of the response of growing pigs to dietary L-arginine supplementation. *Amino Acids* 37:199–208
- Hou YQ, Wang L, Ding BY et al (2010) Dietary α -ketoglutarate supplementation ameliorates intestinal injury in lipopolysaccharide-challenged piglets. *Amino Acids* 39:555–564
- Ji F, Wu G, Blanton JR Jr et al (2005) Changes in weight and composition in various tissues of pregnant gilts and their nutritional implications. *J Anim Sci* 83:366–375
- Jobgen WS, Fried SK, Fu WJ et al (2006) Regulatory role for the arginine-nitric oxide pathway in metabolism of energy substrates. *J Nutr Biochem* 17:571–588
- Jobgen W, Fu WJ, Gao H et al (2009) High fat feeding and dietary L-arginine supplementation differentially regulate gene expression in rat white adipose tissue. *Amino Acids* 37:187–198
- Kim SW, Wu G (2004) Dietary arginine supplementation enhances the growth of milk-fed young pigs. *J Nutr* 134:625–630
- Kim SW, Wu G (2009) Regulatory role for amino acids in mammary gland growth and milk synthesis. *Amino Acids* 37:89–95
- Kirchgessner M, Fickler J, Roth FX (1995) Effect of dietary proline supply on N-balance of piglets. 3. Communication on the importance of nonessential amino acids for protein retention. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr* 73:57–65
- Kong XF, Yin YL, He QH et al (2009) Dietary supplementation with Chinese herbal powder enhances ileal digestibilities and serum concentrations of amino acids in young pigs. *Amino Acids* 37:573–582
- Lassala A, Bazer FW, Cudd TA et al (2010) Parenteral administration of L-arginine prevents fetal growth restriction in undernourished ewes. *J Nutr* 140:1242–1248
- Le Floc'h N, Seve B (2007) Biological roles of tryptophan and its metabolism: potential implications for pig feeding. *Livest Sci* 112:23–32
- Lenders CM, Liu S, Wilmore DW et al (2009) Evaluation of a novel food composition database that includes glutamine and other amino acids derived from gene sequencing data. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 63:1433–1439
- Li P, Yin YL, Li DF et al (2007) Amino acids and immune function. *Br J Nutr* 98:237–252
- Li P, Kim SW, Li XL et al (2009a) Dietary supplementation with cholesterol and docosahexaenoic acid affects concentrations of amino acids in tissues of young pigs. *Amino Acids* 37:709–716
- Li XL, Bazer FW, Gao HJ et al (2009b) Amino acids and gaseous signaling. *Amino Acids* 37:65–78
- Li P, Knabe DA, Kim SW et al (2009c) Lactating porcine mammary tissue catabolizes branched-chain amino acids for glutamine and aspartate synthesis. *J Nutr* 139:1502–1509
- Li P, Mai KS, Trushenski J et al (2009d) New developments in fish amino acid nutrition: towards functional and environmentally oriented aquafeeds. *Amino Acids* 37:43–53

- Li XL, Bazer FW, Johnson GA et al (2010) Dietary supplementation with 0.8% L-arginine between days 0 and 25 of gestation reduces litter size in gilts. *J Nutr* 140:1111–1116
- Liu T, Peng J, Xiong Y et al (2002) Effects of dietary glutamine and glutamate supplementation on small intestinal structure, active absorption and DNA, RNA concentrations in skeletal muscle tissue of weaned piglets during d 28 to 42 of age. *Asian Aust J Anim Sci* 15:238–242
- Ma XY, Lin YC, Jiang ZY et al (2010) Dietary arginine supplementation enhances antioxidative capacity and improves meat quality of finishing pigs. *Amino Acids* 38:95–102
- Mateo RD, Wu G, Bazer FW et al (2007) Dietary L-arginine supplementation enhances the reproductive performance of gilts. *J Nutr* 137:652–656
- Mateo RD, Wu G, Moon HK et al (2008) Effects of dietary arginine supplementation during gestation and lactation on the performance of lactating primiparous sows and nursing piglets. *J Anim Sci* 86:827–835
- Maynard LA, Loosli JK, Hintz HF et al (1979) *Animal nutrition*, 7th edn. McGraw-Hill, New York, p 602
- McKnight JR, Satterfield MC, Jobgen WS et al (2010) Beneficial effects of L-arginine on reducing obesity: potential mechanisms and important implications for human health. *Amino Acids* 39:349–357
- National Research Council (NRC) (1994) *Nutrient requirements of poultry*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC
- National Research Council (NRC) (1998) *Nutrient requirements of swine*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC
- Palii SS, Kays CE, Deval C et al (2009) Specificity of amino acid regulated gene expression: analysis of gene subjected to either complete or single amino acid deprivation. *Amino Acids* 37:79–88
- Phang JM, Donald SP, Pandhare J et al (2008) The metabolism of proline, as a stress substrate, modulates carcinogenic pathways. *Amino Acids* 35:681–690
- Reeds PJ, Burrin DG (2001) Glutamine and the bowel. *J Nutr* 131:2505S–2508S
- Rhoads JM, Wu G (2009) Glutamine, arginine, and leucine signaling in the intestine. *Amino Acids* 37:111–122
- Stipanuk MH, Ueki I, Dominy JE et al (2009) Cysteine dioxygenase: a robust system for regulation of cellular cysteine levels. *Amino Acids* 37:55–63
- Stoll B, Burrin DG (2006) Measuring splanchnic amino acid metabolism in vivo using stable isotopic tracers. *J Anim Sci* 84:E60–E72
- Suryawan A, O'Connor PMJ, Bush JA et al (2009) Differential regulation of protein synthesis by amino acids and insulin in peripheral and visceral tissues of neonatal pigs. *Amino Acids* 37:97–104
- Tan BE, Li XG, Kong XF et al (2009a) Dietary L-arginine supplementation enhances the immune status in early-weaned piglets. *Amino Acids* 37:323–331
- Tan BE, Yin YL, Liu ZQ et al (2009b) Dietary L-arginine supplementation increases muscle gain and reduces body fat mass in growing-finishing pigs. *Amino Acids* 37:169–175
- Tan B, Yin Y, Kong X et al (2010) L-Arginine stimulates proliferation and prevents endotoxin-induced death of intestinal cells. *Amino Acids* 38:1227–1235
- Wang JJ, Chen LX, Li P et al (2008) Gene expression is altered in piglet small intestine by weaning and dietary glutamine supplementation. *J Nutr* 138:1025–1032
- Wang WW, Qiao SY, Li DF (2009) Amino acids and gut function. *Amino Acids* 37:105–110
- Wu G (1997) Synthesis of citrulline and arginine from proline in enterocytes of postnatal pigs. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 272:G1382–G1390
- Wu G (2009) Amino acids: metabolism, functions, and nutrition. *Amino Acids* 37:1–17
- Wu G (2010) Recent advances in swine amino acid nutrition. *J Anim Sci Biotech* 1:49–61
- Wu G, Knabe DA (1994) Free and protein-bound amino acids in sow's colostrums and milk. *J Nutr* 124:415–424
- Wu G, Meininger CJ (2008) Analysis of citrulline, arginine, and methylarginines using high-performance liquid chromatography. *Methods Enzymol* 440:177–189
- Wu G, Morris SM Jr (1998) Arginine metabolism: nitric oxide and beyond. *Biochem J* 336:1–17
- Wu G, Knabe DA, Yan W et al (1995) Glutamine and glucose metabolism in enterocytes of the neonatal pugs. *Am J Physiol Regulatory Comp Integr Physiol* 268:R334–R342
- Wu G, Meier SA, Knabe DA (1996) Dietary glutamine supplementation prevents jejunal atrophy in weaned pigs. *J Nutr* 126:2578–2584
- Wu G, Davis PK, Flynn NE et al (1997) Endogenous synthesis of arginine plays an important role in maintaining arginine homeostasis in postweaning growing pigs. *J Nutr* 127:2342–2349
- Wu G, Ott TL, Knabe DA et al (1999) Amino acid composition of the fetal pig. *J Nutr* 129:1031–1038
- Wu G, Knabe DA, Kim SW (2004) Arginine nutrition in neonatal pigs. *J Nutr* 134:2783S–2390S
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Wallace JM et al (2006) Intrauterine growth retardation: implications for the animal sciences. *J Anim Sci* 84:2316–2337
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Davis TA et al (2007) Important roles for the arginine family of amino acids in swine nutrition and production. *Livest Sci* 112:8–22
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Datta S et al (2008) Proline metabolism in the conceptus: Implications for fetal growth and development. *Amino Acids* 35:691–702
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Davis TA et al (2009) Arginine metabolism and nutrition in growth, health and disease. *Amino Acids* 37:153–168
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Burghardt RC et al (2010a) Impacts of amino acid nutrition on pregnancy outcome in pigs: mechanisms and implications for swine production. *J Anim Sci* 88:E195–E204
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Burghardt RC et al (2010b) Functional amino acids in swine nutrition and production. In: Doppenberg J (ed) *Dynamics in animal nutrition*. Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, pp 69–98
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Burghardt RC et al (2010c) Proline and hydroxyproline metabolism: implications for animal and human nutrition. *Amino Acids*. doi:10.1007/s00726-010-0715-z
- Wu X, Ruan Z, Gao YL et al (2010d) Dietary supplementation with L-arginine or N-carbamylglutamate enhances intestinal growth and heat shock protein-70 expression in weanling pigs fed a corn- and soybean meal-based diet. *Amino Acids* 39:831–839
- Yao K, Yin YL, Chu WY et al (2008) Dietary arginine supplementation increases mTOR signaling activity in skeletal muscle of neonatal pigs. *J Nutr* 138:867–872
- Yin FG, Liu YL, Yin YL et al (2009) Dietary supplementation with Astragalus polysaccharide enhances ileal digestibilities and serum concentrations of amino acids in early weaned piglets. *Amino Acids* 37:263–270
- Zeng XF, Wang FL, Fan X et al (2008) Dietary arginine supplementation during early pregnancy enhances embryonic survival in rats. *J Nutr* 138:1421–1425
- Zimmerman DR (1975) Glutamic acid and tryptophan additions to a low-protein pig starter. *J Anim Sci* 40:871–874